

## Comments on Petition RM-10740

I strongly disagree with the petitioners on the bandwidth question. While the bandwidths they suggest might not seem to be overly restrictive they would render most transmitters and transceivers obsolete. It would seem to me that there are other remedies if indeed there are those who would use excessive bandwidth.

I have been licensed and active for 41 years and have not seen the interference that they report. The old rule of "good amateur practice" and commonly accepted bandwidths of 3.0 for single sideband and 6.0 for amplitude modulation has worked for many years. No rules or regulations will be effective unless enforced. I am of the opinion that there are enough regulations in place.

Also, I fail to see the necessity of including a restrictive bandwidth for AM since the petitioners themselves acknowledge that there is no problem with AM. I own several very valuable vintage AM transmitters and do not wish to add non-original modifications in order for them to be legal. Let's face it, the small difference in bandwidth will make no difference in whether or not an AM signal creates interference to other stations. It will however affect their original engineering and probably cause more bandwidth problems than before since not all amateurs are capable of implementing these modifications. I have no doubt that enforcement of regulations now in place will have more effect on interference than bandwidth restrictions.

With the above in mind, I respectfully request that that Petition RM-10740 be denied.